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## Presentation Overview

- Review availability of high fat milks and sugar-sweetened beverages in secondary schools
- Describe state laws governing beverage availability in competitive food venues in secondary schools
- Examine the relationship between state laws and secondary school beverage availability
- Discuss implications for forthcoming implementation of USDA standards
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# Availability of High-Fat Milks and Sugar-Sweetened Beverages in US Secondary Schools 

## Availability of SSBs, Regular Soda, and High-Fat Milks in US Secondary Schools, SY 2011-12



Source: Bridging the Gap, 2013

# Understanding the State Policy Landscape Regarding Secondary School Beverage Availability 

## State Laws Banning SSBs at the Middle and High School Levels, SY 2008-09 through 2011-12




Source: Bridging the Gap, 2013

## State Laws Banning Regular Sodas at the Middle and High School Levels, SY 2008-09 through 2011-12




Source: Bridging the Gap, 2013

## State Laws Banning 2\%/Whole Milk at the Middle and High School Levels, SY 2008-09 through 2011-12




Source: Bridging the Gap, 2013

Relationship between State Laws and Secondary School Soda and High-Fat Milk Availability

## Methods: School Data

-Health Policies and Practices Schools:

- Nationally representative annual surveys of school administrators in approximately 550 secondary schools (about $2808^{\text {th }}$ grade schools, 135 $10^{\text {th }}$ and $13512^{\text {th }}$ grade schools)
- Each school participates up to 3 years (surveyed each year)
-Monitoring the Future (MTF) Schools:
- Nationally representative annual surveys of school administrators in schools completing their second (and final) year of participation in the MTF study of $8^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}$, and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade student surveys (approximately 210 public and private secondary schools)
- Administrators surveyed only once
-Years: Spring 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012
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## Methods: School-Level Outcomes

## -Venues:

- À la carte cafeteria sales, school stores/snack bars/carts, vending machines, any competitive venue
-Outcomes:
- No availability of the following beverage types:
- Soda: Regular soft drinks (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Dr. Pepper)
- Non-soda SSBs: Sports drinks; fruit drinks that are not $100 \%$ fruit juice and that are high in calories
- "Other Milks": Anything other than non-fat or skim (1\%) milk


## Methods: State Law Data

-Primary legal research using state law databases in Westlaw and Lexis-Nexis
-Laws effective as of beginning of each school year (08-09 through 11-12) using day after Labor Day as proxy
-Laws analyzed for bans on the following beverages at each grade level - middle and high school respectively:

- Regular Soda
- Other SSBs
- High-Fat (2\%/whole) milks


## bridging the gap

## Analytic Methods

-Combined Health Policies and Practices Schools and public MTF schools
-Linked with state law data using state FIPS codes
-Analyses conducted using Stata GLLAMM allowing random intercepts at both the school and state levels
-Controls:

- State controls: \%White, population density, obesity, region, year
- School controls: student body racial/ethnic distribution and SES, total school enrollment, population density (grade also controlled for in high school models)
-Results weighted such that results represent the national percentage of students enrolled in schools with the specified state- and school-level characteristics.
bridging the gap


## Sannie ciaracteristics

|  | Middle School <br> (Std Error of Mean) | High School -N=1440 <br> (Std Error of Mean) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\geq 66 \%$ White | $44 \%(1.8 \%)$ | $52 \%(1.7 \%)$ |
| $\geq 40 \%$ Eligible for FRPL | $61.7 \%(1.8 \%)$ | $50 \%(1.7 \%)$ |
| Up to 500 students | $27.0 \%(1.7 \%)$ | $16.9 \%(1.3 \%)$ |
| 501-1001 students | $52.8 \%(1.9 \%)$ | $23.1 \%(1.5 \%)$ |
| 1001+ students | $20.2 \%(1.5 \%)$ | $60 \%(1.8 \%)$ |
| Urban | $27.6 \%(1.8 \%)$ | $26.0 \%(1.7 \%)$ |
| Suburban | $50.2 \%(2.0 \%)$ | $49.9 \%(1.8 \%)$ |
| Rural | $22.2 \%(1.6 \%)$ | $24.1 \%(1.5 \%)$ |
| \% White state popn. | $80.0 \%(24.5 \%)$ | $80.2 \%(22.1 \%)$ |
| Pop density: per sq mi (in | $2.2(18.4)$ | $2.6(1.9)$ |
| 100s) |  |  |
| \% Obese | $16.5 \%(10.1 \%)$ | $16.4 \%(8.9 \%)$ |
| Northeast | $16.4 \%(1.2 \%)$ | $17.8 \%(1.1 \%)$ |
| Midwest | $22.5 \%(1.4 \%)$ | $23.9 \%(1.2 \%)$ |
| West | $22.9 \%(1.3 \%)$ | $23.6 \%(1.2 \%)$ |
| South | $38.2 \%(1.6 \%)$ | $34.6 \%(1.4 \%)$ |
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## Adjusted* Prevalence of Soda Availability based on State Law Status, 2009-12



## Adjusted* Prevalence of Non-Soda SSB Availability based on State Law Status, 2009-12



## Adjusted* Prevalence of "Other" (2\%/Whole) Milk Availability based on State Law Status, 2009-12

 $\mathrm{P}<.05$; N of students=1284-1287 (MS) and $\mathrm{N}=1412$-1420 (HS)

## Implications and Next Steps

## USDA Beverage Guidelines

-Plain water

- Carbonated or uncarbonated


## -Milk

- 1\% (unflavored) or
- Skim milk (including flavored)


## - Juice

- $100 \%$ fruit or vegetable juices OR
- 100\% fruit or vegetable juices diluted with water or carbonated water
-Caffeine
- Elementary/Middle Schools: Caffeine-free
- High School: Allowed


## USDA Other Beverage Guidelines

- High School only: Calorie-free, flavored and/or carbonated water and other calorie-free beverages
- <5 calories/8 oz serving (or $\leq 10$ calories/20 oz)
- Maximum of 20 oz
- Portion Sizes (except water)
- Elementary schools: 8 oz
- Middle schools: 12 oz
- High Schools: 12 oz (milk, juices)



## Implications from the Current Study

-Strong policies (i.e., bans) do reduce availability of sugarladen and high-fat beverages in secondary schools -Having a law alone is NOT enough to ensure 100\% compliance
-Key challenge will be on the implementation-side and identifying mechanisms to facilitate compliance with USDA, particularly in states that didn't already impose similar beverage restrictions

- Training and technical assistance will be required
- Changes to procurement contracts
- Potential issue if vending machines are considered "fundraisers"
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## Next Steps

-Examining linkages to secondary school student consumption

- Examining associations with district policies
-Examining the concomitant impact of the USDA standards on school beverage availability and student beverage consumption
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