CDC and Bridging the Gap: Introducing New State Appropriation, Grants, and Expenditure Data in the STATE System

Frank Chaloupka, PhD (University of Illinois-Chicago) Jidong Huang, PhD (University of Illinois-Chicago) Kimp Walton, MS (Centers for Disease Control)

> S&E Net Conference Wednesday, September 26, 2012



National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Office on Smoking and Health

Presentation Topics

- Overview of STATE System
- Appropriation/ Expenditure Data Review
- **STATE System Funding Reports**
- Using the Funding Data
- Questions

Kimp Walton
STATE SYSTEM OVERVIEW

STATE SYSTEM OVERVIEW

DC Department Centers	of Health and Human Services for Disease Control and Pr	evention		Search
Smoking & Tobacco	Use			A Printer-friendly version
ptions	State Tobacco Activities Tracking	and Evaluation (STATE) System		Subscribe to RSS
Home Reports by Topic > Detailed Report > State Comparison Report > Trend Report > Highlights Report	STATE TOBACCO ACTIVITIES TRACKING & EVALUATION SYSTEM	e State Tobacco Activities Tracking and Evaluatio interactive application that houses and displays o se prevention and control. <u>More about STATE Syst</u>	n (STATE) System. The STATE urrent and historical state-level data em	Contact Us
Interactive Maps	Interactive Maps	Reports by Type	Popular Topics	
Help About STATE Getting Started Toolkit Report Guide Glossary Methodology Latest Updates Data Sources & Related Links Publications Contact Us Return to Smoking & Tobacco Use Open the STATE Toolkit	New Interactive Maps have been added to the STATE System. View data for adult and youth current cigaretic use, cigaretic excise tax rates, preemption and smokefree indoor air legislation for all U.S. states across multiple years using interactive maps, trend lines, bar charts, and data tables. Wew Interactive Maps >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	To view data about Behaviors. Demographics, Economics, Environment, Funding, Health Consequences and Costs, and Legislation topic areas, click on one of the report buttons below. Detailed Report One State. One Year Comparison Report Multiple States. Multiple Years	To quickly view a popular State Comparison Report, click on a topic below. • Smokefree Private Worksites – OSH • Organette Excise Tax_OSH • Adutt Smoking Prevalence – BRFSS Fact Sheets	
Access new materials to puickly learn about mportant STATE System eatures and tell others about this data resource.	for a state, make a selection in the dropdown menu or click a state on the map.	WI MI PA IL IN OH PA KY NC IL IN OH PA TT NC IL IN OH CT RI MD DE D.C.	International and a control	
	Reports by Topic		LEARN MORE >>	
	To view all reports available for each topic, clic Behaviors – Cliareffe use Behaviors – Other Tobacco Use Demographics Economics Erivironment Funding Health Consequences and Costs	k on a topic below. Legislation – Advertising Legislation – Excise Tax Legislation – Fire Safety Legislation – Fire Safety Legislation – Preemption Legislation – Smokefree Campus Legislation – Smokefree Indoor Air Legislation – Smokefree Indoor Air Legislation – Youth Access	Add this Button to Your Web Site	
e Policies and Regulations	Disclaimer e-Government FOIA Contact Us			
IR • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE" Ins for Disease Control and Preventio Clifton Rd. Atlanta, GA 30333, USA	-	USA.gov	ant of Health	

STATE System Overview

The STATE System is an interactive application that houses and displays current and historical state-level data on tobacco use prevention and control.

Designed to integrate many data sources to provide comprehensive summary data, facilitate research, and produce consistent data interpretation.

www.cdc.gov/tobacco/STATESystem

STATE System Overview

- Behaviors Cigarette Use
- Behaviors Other Tobacco Use
- Demographics
- Economics
- Environment
- Funding
- Health Consequences and Costs
- Legislation Advertising
- Legislation Excise Tax
- Legislation Fire Safety

- Legislation Licensure
- Legislation Preemption
- Legislation Smokefree Campus
- Legislation Smokefree Indoor Air
- Legislation Youth Access
- Quitline Services Available
- Quitline Service
 Utilization
- Quitline Follow-up

Jidong Huang

APPROPRIATION / EXPENDITURE DATA REVIEW

Definition

- Public funding allocated to/by a particular state for tobacco prevention and control (whether allocated at the community and/or state level) but not necessarily expended
- Excludes private funds and funding for national advocacy
- Funds are allocated by state legislatures, voter ballot initiatives, or independent organizations

Appropriations/Grants Funding Categories

- State (data available for years 1991-2011, all states/DC)
- Federal (data available for years 1991-2011, all states/DC)
- American Legacy Foundation (Legacy, data available for years 2000-2011, all states/DC)
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF, data available for years 1994-2011, all states/DC)

State appropriations (1991-2011)

- Funds specifically and explicitly appropriated/allocated to tobacco prevention and control activities/programs/initiatives
- General health related program funding (such as Medicaid, Dept. of public health) are not included unless explicitly used for tobacco control and prevention

State appropriations (1991-2011)

 Includes state funding towards Synar regulatory activities, however this data is difficult to track; states are penalized a 40% reduction of substance abuse prevention funds for violating Synar regulations, but a provision allows the full award if state's assist with Synar regulations in their state using their own funds

Federal appropriations (1991-2011)

- The American Stop Smoking Intervention Study (ASSIST, 1991-1998, the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society)
- CDC's Initiatives to Mobilize for the Prevention and Control of Tobacco Use (IMPACT, 1994-1998)
- CDC's National Tobacco Control Program (NTCP, 1997-Present)

Federal appropriations (1991-2011) cont.

- State Supplemental Funding for Healthy Communities (To begin fiscal year 2011), the Prevention and Public Health Fund
- Food and Drug Administration (2009-Present)
- Communities Putting Prevention to Work Initiative (CPPW) (began in fiscal year 2010), the Department of Health and Human Services
 - Includes monies allocated at community and/or state level for particular states

Data Collection Methodology & Data Sources

- State Funding:
 - Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids (CTFK) online reports are the primary data source. CTFK personnel are contacted for additional inquiry.
 - As a secondary source, public reports from American Lung Association's State Legislated Actions on Tobacco Issues (SLATI) and State of Tobacco Control are reviewed.
 - If data discrepancies exist between the two sources, state tobacco programs are contacted directly for resolution, usually the director or the key personnel of the state tobacco control program.

Data Collection Methodology & Data Sources

- Federal funding:
 - The National Cancer Institute provided funding data for the American Stop Smoking Intervention Study (ASSIST).
 - The CDC's Office on Smoking Health provided state funding data for the Initiatives to Mobilize for the Prevention and Control of Tobacco Use (IMPACT) and the National Tobacco Control Program (NTCP).
 - CDC provided data on State Supplemental Funding for Healthy Communities which is funded from the Prevention and Public Health Fund.
 - The FDA's compliance-check data were obtained from the USAspending.gov website and verified with data provided by the FDA's Center for Tobacco Products
 - CPPW funds were obtained from a HHS website (<u>http://www.hhs.gov/recovery/programs/cppw/factsheet.html</u>)

Data Collection Methodology & Data Sources

- American Legacy Foundation
 - The American Legacy Foundation tobacco control funding data were obtained from Legacy's internal financial and grant reports. Grants for national level advocacy and research grants were excluded.
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
 - Data were obtained directly from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) by examining RWJF grants awarded to states. Grants for national level advocacy and research grants were excluded.

Definition

- Amounts spent by state tobacco control programs on tobacco prevention and control
- Includes community-level spending, where community-level funds were granted from federal, state, Legacy, and RWJF funding sources
- Expenditure data are available by CDC Best Practices (BP) Program Components for years 2008 & 2009

Data Collection Methodology and Primary Sources

- Contacted key public health personnel within each state, the first point of contact was usually the director or head of the tobacco control division within a state's public health department
- Performed online searches of state audit and budget reports
- Reviewed documents within a state's health department or other agencies' websites pertaining to the administration, management, and utilization of state tobacco control funds
- Open records requests

Data Collection Methodology and Primary Sources

- When multiple agencies were involved with tobacco control initiatives, each agency, including education departments and Master Settlement Agreement funded foundations, was contacted
- If a response was not received, a formal Open Records Request was filed with the associated office of administrative counsel within the agency or body overseeing that state's tobacco control program

Data Collection Methodology and Primary Sources

 For most states, data were provided by the five program components of CDC's Best Practices. Otherwise, assistance from state contacts was requested to categorize the expenditures data.

- Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components
 - Spending on State and Community Interventions
 - Spending on Health Communication Interventions
 - Spending on Cessation Interventions
 - Spending on Surveillance and Evaluation
 - Spending on Administration and Management

Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components

 Spending on State and Community Interventions includes all expenditures and related consultant fees for initiatives to change local and statewide smoke free air policies; reduce exposure to second-hand smoke; eliminate tobacco-related disparities; and implement community and/or school programs aimed at influencing youth

Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components

Spending on Health Communication Interventions includes all expenditures on anti-tobacco media campaigns, including state and local paid television, radio, billboard, print, and web-based advertising. It includes spending for all advertisements including cessation, prevention, policy or youth oriented advertisements. Also included are the costs of producing, carrying, and broadcasting those ads and related consultant fees

- Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components
 - Spending on Cessation Interventions includes all expenditures on state Quitline and cessation services

Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components

Spending on Surveillance and Evaluation -

includes all expenses on surveys and/or research to monitor tobaccorelated attitudes, behaviors, and health outcomes, and to evaluate the effectiveness of tobacco control and prevention interventions

Expenditures by CDC Best Practices Program Components

 Spending on Administration and Management includes salary and fringe benefits for the personnel who manage and operate state tobacco control programs

Kimp Walton
STATE SYSTEM FUNDING REPORTS

STATE System Funding Reports

	1995															
ioking & Tobacco	Use														B Printer-tr	riendty versi
tions	State Tobacco	Activities	Trackin	g and	Evalua	tion (S1	ATE) Sys	tem								
lome				71-0-10		and the second	0.0.010000									
eports by Topic	Interactive Maps															
Detailed Report	Country of the second of		tour Transis			and the state of the state		tention -	Contraction of the local		the second					
State Comparison Report	most recent year will	be displayed t	first.	ALL THE P	sone and	DECEMPTOR OF	teriant rinder		Data at 103	our amatis	ALL TO US	aborna ano	pears of	11 012 410 4	ed o ala lor an	
intiate Read															Allocation	ined
teractive Mans	Topic & Measure*	Funding - A	corcoriatio	nel/Gran	ta - Total	Per Capita	uic								144	
NO		Distance 11	10.0			101000000									100	
COLT STATE							Gen	state Re	port							
etting Started																
lagort Quide																
lossay													-		and the second se	
lethodology	COURSE TIPSC												10 AD	the true We	and the second s	
atestUpdates	 Click the Play synt 	pel (> to comp	pare US da	ita year-l	ay year.	a disa kadara	and some second	in constant in the	and the local diversion of the local diversio	-			10 Usi	ng Interact	live Meps	
ita Sources & Related Itta	 Click on a state in t 	the Map to sel	lect the sta	te in the	Data Tabl	e, Trend Li	ie, and State	Bar Char	t.	to Little.			ME Do	while and All	Data for this T	opic
ablications	Consider a sub-															
estact Lis	STATE System	Interactive	Maps	Take	Der	atta inc						Saves	a an ireas	-	Frint	
rtum to Smoking &	Funding - App	ropriations	nGrants	- 10ta	Per Ca	pita - Ulo								_	2000 0	
Sacco Osa																
New to STATE System?	Play Data by 1 D < >	fear 1 1992 1	993 199	4 199	5 1996	1997 19	90 1999 3	000 20	01 2002	2003 2	004 ZX	05 200	2007	2006	2009 2010	
New to STATE System?	Play Data by 1 > < > 199 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 19 11: 2010 Map	993 199	4 199	5 1996	1997 19	1999 3	000 20	01 2002	2003 2 Data	004 20 Table	05 200	2007	2006	2009 2010	
New to STATE System? Gotting Started guide quick Bas on using ordart STATE System	Play Data by 1 D < > 199 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 19 11 2010 Map	993 199	4 199	5 1996	1997 19	1999 3 Legens	000 20 \$ 0.99	01 2002	2003 2 Data	004 24 Table	05 200	2007 Ta	2006	2009 2010	
New to STATE System? Gatting Started guide guide Spare on using ortant STATE System ures.	Play Data by 1 D < > 199 Data for Yea United States	rear 1 1992 19 11 2010 Map	993 199	4 199	5 1996	1997 19	26 1999 3 Legens \$0.00 \$1.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 - \$ 2.99	01 2002	2003 2 Data	Table Hate		2007 Te	2006 i	2009 2010	
New to STATE System? Gotting Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures.	Play Data by 1 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1962 31 11: 2010 Map	903 199	+ 198	5 1996	1997 19	Eegena \$0.00 \$1.00 \$1.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99	01 2002	Data Alat	Table State	os 200	1 2007 Ta	2006	2009 2010 2009 2010 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
New to STATE System? Gotting Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures.	Play Data by 1 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 31 117: 2010 Map	903 199	4 199	5 1996	1997 19	E 1999 3 Legend \$0.00 \$1.00 \$3.00 \$5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99	01 2002	Data Data Alat Alat	Table Mate		1 2007 Ta	2006 :	2009 2010 2009 2010 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
New to STATE System? Gatting Started quide quick tips on using ortant STATE System ures. ormats Help:	Play Data by 1 D < > 199 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 19 11 2010 Map	203 199	4 1993	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgene \$0.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99 or greater	01 2002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat	Table State State Sama Sama sana ansas	os 200	2007 Te	2006 :	2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 2000 2010 2000 2010 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200 2000 200 2000 2000 200 2000 200 2000 200 2000 200 2000 200 2000 200 2000	
New to STATE System? Gatting Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. ormate Helpi Sociation Offenent Re In JPDF. Exerti on the seled	Play Data by 1 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 11 Nr: 2010 Map	203 199	4 198	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgene \$0.00 \$1,00 \$1,00 \$5,00 \$5,00 \$5,00	000 20 \$ 0.99 - \$ 2.99 - \$ 4.99 or greater	01 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cat	Table State Sama Ika Ika Insai forsai	105 200	1 2007 Ta	2008	2009 2010 2009 200 2009 200 2000 2000 2009 2009 200 2000 2009 200	
New to STATE System? Gotting Started suide quick tips on using order STATE System ures comate Helpi ormate Helpi is vote offerent file is UPCF. Exactly the InfCF.	Play Data by 1 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1962 1 17: 2010 Map	903 199	4 198	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgena \$0.00 \$1.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$7.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99 or greater	01 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cat Cat	Table Mate Mate ama insar forma forma stado	os 200	1 2007 Ti	2006 3	2009 2010 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.52 1.15	
New to STATE System? Gottine Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. System of these system of these time a UDP. Excell on the start mer on portlecal Web	Play Data by 1 Data for Yea United States	fear 1 1992 31 117: 2010 Map	903 199	4 198	5 1996	1997 19	26 1999 3 Legens \$0.00 \$1.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 - \$ 2.99 - \$ 4.99 - \$ 6.99 or greater	01 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cak Cak Cak	Table Mate Mate Mate Mate Mate Mate Mate Mat	ios 200	1 2007 Tr	2008 :	2009 2010 2	
New to STATE System? Gotting Started quide quick tips on using orbits on using orbits STATE System ures. primets Help: Cover offerent file 1/DEF. Exect on the start mer on nonlesteral Viet	Play Data by Y	fear 1 1992 11 Map	501 199	4 1997	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgena 40.00 5 1.00 5 1.00 5 3.00 5 5.00 5 5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99 or greater	oi 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cak Cak Cak Cak	Table Materia Materia Ansai fonta Fonta Stado nectiout evere		1 2007 Ta	2008 :	2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 0.50 0.67 3.45 0.92 1.15 7.90 5.55	
New to STATE System? Cottone Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System uses. commate Help: Style offerest file 1/FCF. Loost has start terr on pontetergl Web	Play Data by Y	fear 1 1962 31 Nr: 2010 Hap	501 180	• 1997	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgene \$0.00 \$1.00 \$3.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99 or greater	ot 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Data	Table Materia Materia Ansai forma forma fo	ios 200	1 2007 Ta	2008 :	2009 2010 0.50 0.50 0.57 7.09 3.45 0.92 1.15 7.50 5.55 8.43	
New to STATE System? Gottine Started sude quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. onmate Help: Stylew offerent file a 1905 Excell on the plat met on nonfederal Web	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 31 Mr: 2010 Map	99 199	• 1997	5 1996	1997 19	Ecgeni 40.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	ot 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Cale Cale Cale Data Data Data	Table State state ons insat forms forms insato nectiout invare ind of G	105 200 1 1 1	5 2007 Ts	2008 :	2009 2010 2	
New to STATE System? Cotting Started quide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. connets Helpi connets Hel	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 H Map	99 199	4 1993	5 1996	1997 19	E 1995 3	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	,	Data Data Alaz Alaz Alaz Cak Cak Cak Cak Data Data Data	Table State State ons insat forms forms forms insat nection insat on a forms insat forms forms insat forms f	x 4	Te	2000 :	2009 2010 2010 2010 2010 0.50 0.50 0.50 1.15 7.90 5.55 6.43 3.21 0.43 0.43 0.51	
New to STATE System? Contract State quick tips on using orbat STATE System ures. ormats Help: 0 view offerent file 1967 Load to that use? net on nonlecteral vieb	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 31 hr: 2010 Hap	903 199	4 1983	5 1995	1927 19	Ecgene 40.00 51.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	, 3002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak	Table State Same La State Same State Same State	105 200 1 1	T 2007	2006 S	2010 2	
New to STATE System? Cotting System under STATE System under STATE System under STATE System under State System under System System Offenest Re style offenest Re under controlled States	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 35 Mr: 2010 Hap	199	4 1985	5 1996	1997 19	E 1995 3	000 20 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	o1 3002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Colu Colu Colu Dub Dub Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu	Table State State Same inset forste stato rediout soare stato cide rigie sit to	olumbia	T	2006 :	2009 2010 2	
New to STATE System? Gottine Started guide quick tips on using entant STATE System ures. connece Helpi connece Hel	Play Data by Y	rear L 1992 H Hap Hap	BOJ 199	• 1983	5 1996	1927 19	E 1995 3 Legend 10.00 5 1.00 5 5.00 8 5.00 8 5.00 8 5.00	4 0.90 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	o1 2002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Colu Colu Data Data Hay Data	Table State State Same inter forste stato netiout seare stato forste same stato forste same stato same stato same stato same stato same stato same state state	olumbie	Ts	2006 S	2009 2010 2010 2010 0.50 0.50 0.50 7.09 3.45 1.15 7.00 5.55 8.43 3.21 0.43 9.21 1.11 1.11	
New to STATE System? Gottine Started quide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. ormate Helpi structure Offenset Rig a 1907. Exasti on this start mer on nontheleral Web	Play Data by Y	Fear L 1992 S Hap Hap	so3 196	4 1983	5 1995	1927 19	Ecgene 40.00 51.00 51.00 53.00 54.00 5	40.99 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 4.99 or greater	oi 2002	Data Alaz Alaz Alaz Alaz Alaz Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak	Table State	05 200 • •	Ta	2006 S	2010 2	
New to STATE System? Cottine Started unde quick tips en using ertant STATE System under STATE System ormate Help: In 1907 Food to the start Int PCP Food to the start mer on nonleseral life)	Play Data by Y	fear 1 1992 31 Hap Hap	193 194	4 1999	5 1996	1927 19	Ecgene 40.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$5.00	000 20 \$ \$ 0.99 -\$ 2.99 -\$ 4.99 -\$ 4.99 or greater	o1 2002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak	Table State	05 200 • •	Ts	2008 :	2009 2010 2009 2010 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.52 1.15 7.50 5.55 8.43 3.21 0.43 9.21 1.11 9	
New to STATE System? Gottine Started guide quick tips on using orbant STATE System urles. connects Help: Structure of Heast Tel In (FDF Excell on the self) met on nontesteral Uses	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 S Mr: 2010 Map	Read Line	4 1999	. 1996	1997 19	E 1995 3	000 20 \$ 0.99 \$ 2.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.99 or greater	01_2002	Deta Alac Alac Alac Alac Alac Cak Cak Cak Cak Cak Dat Dat Har Cak	Table State Arms is a ons one forsts forsts forsts fone forsts	olumbie	1 2007	2008 3	2009 2010 2	
New to STATE System? Gottine Garried quide quick tips on using orbant STATE System ures. ormates Helpi surger of the state a PDF. Excell on this state mer on nontelecul Web	Play Data by Y	fear 1 1992 H Hap Hap	trend Line	4 1999	5 1995	1927 19	Ecgene 40.00 51.00 53.00 53.00 54.00 5	000 20 5 5 0.90 5 2.99 5 4.99 5 4.99 5 4.99 5 6.96 7 greater	oi 2002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu Colu	Table Table Same ika ons ansai netiout seare netiout seare sat of 6 de sat sat sat sat	05 200 *	1 2007 Ta	2006	2010 2	
New to STATE System? Gottine Statted uide quick tips on using entant STATE System uness. ormate Helpi is view offenen file IFCF Look The stat Int CFC Floor The stat Int CFC Floor The stat	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 31 Hap Hap	BOJ 192	4 1999		1927 19	20 1999 3	000 20 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.90 \$ 4.99 or greater	o1 2002	Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Alat Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab	Table Table Name Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa Aa	n k	1 2007	2008 3	2009 2010 2009 2010 2009 2010 0.50 0.50 0.52 1.15 7.55 8.43 3.21 0.43 9.21 1.11	
New to STATE System? Cotting Startup Startup Internet Start STATE System Unes. Context Helps Context	Play Data by Y	Fear 1 1992 S Hap Hap Bar Charter	POJ 199	4 192	1996	1927 19	E 1995 3	000 20 \$ 0.90 \$ 2.90 \$ 4.99 \$ 4.99 \$ 6.90 or gnadau	oi 2002	Data Data Alat Alat Alat Alat Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab Cab	Table State State Same Same Same Same Same Same Same Sam	s s	1 2007	2008	2009 2010 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.67 7.09 3.45 0.67 1.15 7.90 5.55 8.43 3.21 0.43 9.21 1.11	

- Total Amount
- Total Amount Per Capita
- Appropriation Amounts by Funding Source
- Funding Source Amounts as a Percentage of Total Appropriations
- Funding Cycle

- Total Amount
- Total Per Capita
- Expenditures by Best Practices Program Components
- All BP Interventions Subtotal
 - Sum of State & Community, Health Communication, & Cessation Interventions
- BP Expenditures as a Percentage of Total Expenditures
- 2007 CDC Best Practices Recommended Annual Investment
- Total Amount as a Percentage of 2007 CDC BP Recommendations

- Funding Cycle
 - State Appropriation the state's fiscal year for data from 2000 2011. However, for years prior to 2000, funding cycle set at July 1- June 30 for all 50 states and DC.
 - Legacy Appropriation Legacy set their funding cycle to July 1- June 30

- Funding Cycle
 - RWJF Appropriation RWJF funding cycle was set to July 1 June 30 by UIC to be consistent with Legacy's funding cycle
 - Federal Appropriation As for federal appropriations, because it includes several federal funding sources and grants (CPPW, NTCP, FDA), the funding cycle for the OSH NTCP grant was selected to represent the federal funding cycle. All federal appropriation data are adjusted to the funding cycle of OSH's NTCP grant.

Frank Chaloupka

USING APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA

Why Are Tobacco Control Appropriations/Grants and Expenditure Data Important?

- Better understand the resources states devoted to tobacco control programs and the investment states make in reducing tobacco use.
- 2. Help inform state policy-makers of the importance of adequately funding tobacco control programs.
- 3. Evaluate the separate impact of major program components and the synergistic effects of a comprehensive tobacco control program on tobacco use

Why Are Tobacco Control Appropriations/Grants and Expenditure Data Important?

- 4. Produce evidence to support continued funding for state comprehensive tobacco control programs when many states have been and are now looking to cut tobacco control funding in face of state budget crises.
- 5. Help identify and preserve critical tobacco control program elements.

Use of Tobacco Control Appropriations/Grants and Expenditure Data

- **Produce Empirical Evidence**
- **Some Examples:**
- 1. Understand the current status of tobacco control investment in the U.S.
- 2. Examine the impact of state tobacco control expenditures on tobacco-related beliefs, attitudes, and intentions to use among American youth.
- 3. Investigate the effect of tobacco control expenditure on youth tobacco use behaviors.
- 4. Examine the impact of tobacco control expenditures on adult smoking behaviors.

Use of Tobacco Control Appropriations/Grants and Expenditure Data

1. Understand the current status of tobacco control investment in the U.S.

Real State Tobacco Control Funding from 1991 – 2010 in millions (2010 base CPI)



Real State Tobacco Control Funding and Cigarette Company Marketing Expenditures, 1991-2010 (2010\$)



Bridging the Gap project, University of Illinois at Chicago



Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids, Federal Trade Commission, American Heart Association, American Cancer Society, American Lung Association, SmokeLess States National Tobacco Policy Initiative

Price-Related Cigarette Marketing and Tobacco Control

Greater price-related marketing since the Master Settlement Agreement and related price increases (Ruel, et al., 2004; Loomis et al., 2006; FTC, 2007)

 More price-related marketing in states with greater spending on comprehensive tobacco control programs (Loomis, et al., 2006; Slater et al., 2001)

 Growing use of point-of-sale ads to highlight sales promotions (e.g. special price, special offer, cents off, reduced price, multi-pack special) (Feighery et al., 2008)

National Total Tobacco Control Expenditure by CDC Category in Millions



Bridging the Gap project, University of Illinois at Chicago

National Per Capita Tobacco Control Expenditures by CDC Category

2008 2009 2010



Bridging the Gap project, University of Illinois at Chicago

FY 2009 State Total Tobacco Control Spending As A Percentage of CDC Recommended Spending Levels



Data Source: Bridging The Gap/ImpacTeen

Provide Research Evidence: Examples

 Examine the impact of state tobacco control expenditure on youth tobacco-related beliefs, attitudes, and intentions.

Methodology

- Examine tobacco-related beliefs, attitudes, and intentions among a nationally representative cross-sectional sample of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in the U.S. from the Monitoring the Future Surveys, 2008-2010.
- State total tobacco control expenditure measured as the % of CDC recommended level of investment.
- Controlled for other state level tobacco control policies, such as cigarette price, and smoke free air laws, as well as individual- or family-level demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and school characteristics.

Youth Tobacco-related Attitudes, Beliefs, and

Intentions	Coefficient	Standard Error
Approve of others/adults smoking >= 1 pack/day	-0.000204***	(0.000130)
Do not prefer to date nonsmokers	-1.81e-05	(0.000142)
Feel that smokers know how to enjoy life more than nonsmokers	-5.76e-05*	(8.17e-05)
Feel that the harmful effects of cigarettes have been exaggerated	-0.000101	(0.000149)
Do not feel that smoking reflects poor judgment	-9.73e-05	(0.000166)
Do not mind being around people who are smoking	-0.000422***	(0.000215)
Do not feel that smoking is a dirty habit	-8.95e-05	(0.000156)
Do not strongly dislike being around people smoking	-0.000305***	(0.000210)
Perceive great harm in smoking	0.000372***	(0.000161)
Perceive great harm in using smokeless tobacco	0.000482***	(0.000229)
Intend to smoke in 1 year	-0.000133**	(0.000126)
Intend to smoke in 5 year	-0.000200***	(0.000147)

Standard errors in parentheses, controlled for SFA, price, smoking sentiment, and individual socioeconomic and demographic variables with state and year fixed effects *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Preliminary Results - Summary

- For American Middle School and High School Students, Higher Tobacco Control Expenditure Were Found to Be Associated with:
 - More disapproval of others smoking at least a pack a day
 - More mindful and dislike of being around people who smoke
 - Greater perceived harm in smoking or use of smokeless tobacco
 - Stronger intentions not to smoke in the future
- Limitations: short time period, more years of data are needed.

Provide Research Evidence: Examples

 Examine the effect of state tobacco control expenditure on tobacco use among middle school and high school students in the U.S.

Methodology

Examine tobacco use among a nationally representative cross-sectional sample of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in the U.S. from the Monitoring the Future Surveys, 2008-2010.

State total tobacco control expenditure measured as the % of CDC recommended level of investment.

Controlled for other state level tobacco control policies, such as cigarette price, and smoke free air laws, as well as individual- or family-level demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and school characteristics.

Youth Tobacco Use Outcomes

Smoked in the past 30 days	-0.000149**	(0.000147)
Average number of cigarettes smoked in past 30		
days, in log	-0.000239	(0.000928)
Used smokeless tobacco in the past 30 days		
	-6.24e-05	(0.000114)
Ever smoked but not currently smoking		
(those who quit)	2.86e-05	(0.000116)

Standard errors in parentheses, controlled for SFA, price, smoking sentiment, and individual socio-economic and demographic variables with state and year fixed effects *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Preliminary Results - Summary

- For American Middle School and High School Students, Higher Tobacco Control Expenditure Were Found to Be Associated with:
 - Significantly lower cigarette smoking prevalence
 - Reduced cigarette consumption
 - Reduced prevalence of smokeless tobacco use
 - Increased smoking cessation

Limitations: cross-sectional data, short time period

Methodology

Examine tobacco use among a nationally representative cross-sectional sample of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in the U.S. from the Monitoring the Future Surveys, 1991-2010.
 Update of earlier work focused on 1991-1999

State total tobacco control funding measured in dollars per capita and as the % of CDC recommended level of investment.

Controlled for other state level tobacco control policies, such as cigarette price, and smoke free air laws, as well as individual- or family-level demographic and socioeconomic characteristics and school characteristics.

State Tobacco Control Program Funding and Youth Smoking Prevalence



Source: ImpacTeen Project, UIC; YRBS

Simulations

Used preliminary estimates to simulate the impact of different funding scenarios

- Funding from 1991-2010 reduced prevalence by 1.05%
- Had funding been at CDC recommended levels throughout, additional 7.25% reduction in prevalence
- Had all states funded at the maximum level of any state during the period (\$20.5 per capita), additional 10.6% reduction in prevalence

Provide Research Evidence: Examples

4. Examine the impact of spending on specific tobacco control program components on adult smoking behavior, and investigate the synergistic impact of a comprehensive tobacco control program.

Methodology

- Use state level data for years 2008-2010 on tobacco use from Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)
- Used two measures of expenditures:
 - in per capita terms and as CDC recommended levels of investment
- Controlling for
 - Cigarette price:
 - Smoke Free Air Laws
 - State Unemployment Rate and Personal Capita Income
 - Other socio-economic and demographic and religious characteristics of a state

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Variables	(-)	(—)	(0)	(')	(0)	(0)	(.,
state and community	-0.00390						-0.00223
	(0.00870)						(0.0108)
health communication		0.000643					0.00434
		(0.00680)					(0.0100)
cessation			-0.000350				-0.000417
			(0.00775)				(0.0113)
surveillance and evaluation				-0.00294			-0.000485
				(0.00504)			(0.00987)
administration and management					-0.00259		-0.00293
					(0.00254)		(0.00556)
total expenditure						-0.00453	
						(0.00963)	
Observations	153	153	153	153	153	153	153
R-squared	0.767	0.767	0.767	0.767	0.768	0.767	0.768

Table 2: Impact of expenditures mea	sured as a portior	n of CDC re	commend	led level on	current sn	noking int	ensity
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Variables							
state and community	-0.0164*						-0.0180
	(0.00969)						(0.0141)
health communication		0.00283					0.0176
		(0.00913)					(0.0125)
cessation			-0.0125				-0.0170
			(0.0138)				(0.0217)
surveillance and evaluation				-0.00706			-0.00367
				(0.00844)			(0.0161)
administration and management					-0.00263		0.000309
					(0.00456)		(0.00824)
total expenditure						-0.0150	
						(0.0121)	
Observations	153	153	153	153	153	153	153
R-squared	0.716	0.712	0.714	0.713	0.712	0.714	0.720
Robust standard errors in parenthe	eses, *** p<0.01, **	[*] p<0.05, * p	<0.1				

Table 3: Impact of expenditures measu	ured as a portion	on of CDC r	ecommen	ded level oi	n successfi	ul past qui	tting
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Variables							
state and community	0.0143						-0.00212
	(0.0135)						(0.0154)
health communication		0.0132					-0.00196
		(0.00959)					(0.0125)
cessation			0.0237*				0.00684
			(0.0126)				(0.0168)
surveillance and evaluation				0.0235***			0.0222
				(0.00860)			(0.0168)
administration and management					0.00958**		0.000501
					(0.00416)		(0.00792)
total expenditure						0.0286*	
						(0.0148)	
Observations	153	153	153	153	153	153	153
R-squared	0.775	0.776	0.777	0.784	0.778	0.779	0.784
Robust standard errors in parenthes	es, *** p<0.01, *	^{**} p<0.05, * p	0<0.1				

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Variables							
state and community	0.0106						-0.00244
	(0.0113)						(0.0152)
health communication		0.00710					-0.0115
		(0.00831)					(0.0121)
cessation			0.0266*				0.0304
			(0.0142)				(0.0224)
surveillance and evaluation				0.0144*			0.00233
				(0.00829)			(0.0141)
administration and management					0.00744		0.00656
					(0.00549)		(0.00862)
total expenditure						0.0229*	
						(0.0133)	
Observations	153	153	153	153	153	153	153
R-squared	0.388	0.387	0.398	0.395	0.393	0.394	0.405

Preliminary Results Summary

Smoking Prevalence

- We find negative but statistically insignificant impact on current smoking Prevalence
 - Problems: lack of variation in smoking prevalence during the study period and short time period, only 3 years of data

Smoking Intensity

- State and community intervention expenditures decrease the intensity of smoking among current smokers
- If spending on state and community intervention were at 100% about 440,000 more everyday smokers would switch to someday smoking

Preliminary Results Summary

Cessation and quit attempts

- Higher spending in every CDC Best Practice category is associated with more successful quit and more quit attempts. The correlations were statistically significant for cessation intervention expenditures and surveillance and evaluation expenditures, and program management and administration spending.
- If cessation spending were at 100% of CDC recommended level, approximately 1 million smokers would have successfully quit, and about 2 million additional current smokers would have attempted to quit.

Plans

 Continued collection of tobacco control program funding and expenditures data, including spending by CDC Best Practices categories

Ongoing, extended analyses assessing impact of tobacco control program funding/spending on tobacco use among youth and adults

Assessment of alternative spending patterns on tobacco use among youth and adults

Questions

Contact
 Dr. Frank J. Chaloupka at <u>fjc@uic.edu</u>
 Dr. Jidong Huang at <u>jhuang12@uic.edu</u>

For more information:

www.bridgingthegapresearch.org

Thank You!